UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/633,410 | 08/04/2003 | Stevan P. Tofovic | 007278-10 | 6070 | |
| | 7590 09/17/200 UM LAW FIRM, P. C | | EXAMINER | | |
| 685 BRIGGS STREET | | | CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE | | |
| PO BOX 929 ERIE, CO 8051 | 6 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/17/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Interview Comment | 10/633,410 | TOFOVIC ET AL | √IC ET AL. | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Renee Claytor | 1617 | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | |
| (1) <u>Renee Claytor</u> . | (3) <u>Jennifer McCallum</u> . | | | |
| (2) <u>Sreeni Padmanabhan</u> . | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 26 August 2008. | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark> | e] | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) <u></u> No. | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: All claims of record. | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: All prior art of record. | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. € | g) | I/A. | | |
| reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the difference</u> <u>discuss with a Quality Assurance Specialist the Office's state</u> <u>and a condition occurring naturally in which it was agreed a condition is originated. Discussed this with the attorney are encompass their invention.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE Side or on attached sheet. | ance on treatment methods for that a composition will provide and they will consider amending the same which the examiner agroup of the amendments that vid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT'ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | r a drug-induced treatment no may the claims to be reed would render the SUBSTANCE Corbeen filed, APP Y DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS | er the claims claims CF THE LICANT IS CHIS LATER, TO | |
| | /SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U | nit 1617 | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)